

10-A088 113

RHODE ISLAND UNIV KINGSTON DEPT OF MATHEMATICS  
A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. (U)  
MAY 80 M J NORRIS, R D DRIVER F49620-79-C-

**F/8 12/1**

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**F49620-79-C-0129**

NL

**AFCSR-TR-80-0585**

| ٥٥ |

2009

END

DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
TIME \_\_\_\_\_

9-80

DTIC

AFOSR-TR-80-0585

May 1980



A Uniqueness Theorem for Ordinary Differential Equations,

M. J./Norris and R. D./Driver

Abstract. The uniqueness theorem of this paper answers an open question for a system of differential equations arising in a certain n-body problem of classical electrodynamics. The essence of the result can be illustrated using the scalar prototype equation  $x' = g_1(x) + g_2(t + x)$  with  $x(0) = 0$ . The solution of the latter will be unique provided  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are continuous positive functions of bounded variation.

LEVEL II

DTIC  
ELECTE  
AUG 15 1980

†Applied Mathematics Department 5640, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185. Work supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-76DP00789.

‡Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881. Work supported in part by AFOSR Contract/F49620-79-0129

ca Per	1
USAL	
B	
Unannounced	
Location	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Special	
Dist	A

Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited.

80 8 14 102

AD A088113

UUC FILE COPY

The theorem proved in this paper presents a criterion weaker than a Lipschitz condition which assures uniqueness of solutions of a system of ordinary differential equations. It was designed to resolve an open question in classical electrodynamics described at the end of the paper.

Before stating the theorem let us illustrate it with two scalar examples typifying the problems we had in mind. These examples are easily treated with the theorem which follows. We are unaware of any previous uniqueness theorem which would handle them or the electrodynamics problem of Example 3.

Example 1. If  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are continuous positive functions of bounded variation on an open interval containing 0, then the equation

$$x' = g_1(x) + g_2(t + x) \quad \text{with} \quad x(0) = 0$$

has a unique solution on some open interval containing 0.

Example 2. The equation

$$x' = (t + x^{5/3})^{1/3} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad x(0) = 0$$

has a unique solution.

The theorem itself treats a system of  $n$  ordinary differential equations

(1)  $x' = f(t, x)$

with initial conditions

(2)  $x(t_0) = x_0$

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)  
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO BDC  
This technical report has been reviewed and is  
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).  
A. D. BLOSE  
Technical Information Officer

Let  $S$  be a subset (not necessarily open) of  $R^{n+1}$ , and let  $f: S \rightarrow R^n$ . Then, given  $(t_0, x_0) \in S$ , a solution of Eqs. (1) and (2) is defined as any differentiable function  $x$  on an interval  $J$  such that  $(t, x(t)) \in S$  and  $x' = f(t, x(t))$  for  $t \in J$ , while  $t_0 \in J$  and  $x(t_0) = x_0$ . (If  $J$  contains either of its endpoints,  $x'(t)$  is a one-sided derivative there.)

The norm used in this paper for a vector  $\xi \in R^n$  is  $||\xi|| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|$ .

Theorem. Let  $f: S \rightarrow R^n$  be continuous and satisfy the following condition. Each point in  $S$  has an open neighborhood  $U$ , a constant  $K > 0$ , an integer  $m \geq 0$ , and functions  $h_j$  and  $g_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  such that

$$(3) \quad ||f(t, \xi) - f(t, \eta)|| \leq K ||\xi - \eta|| + K \sum_{j=1}^m |g_j(h_j(t, \xi)) - g_j(h_j(t, \eta))|$$

on  $U \cap S$ , where  $h_j: U \rightarrow R$  is continuously differentiable with

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial h_j(t, \xi)}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial h_j(t, \xi)}{\partial \xi_i} f_i(t, \xi) \neq 0 \quad \text{on } U \cap S$$

and each  $g_j: R \rightarrow R$  is continuous and is of bounded variation on bounded subintervals. Then Eqs. (1) and (2) with any point  $(t_0, x_0) \in S$  have at most one solution on any interval  $J$ .

Remarks. The theorem of course does not guarantee the existence of a solution on a nontrivial interval  $J$ . Existence would follow, for example, if  $S$  were open.

To treat Example 1, define  $h_1(t, \xi) = \xi$  and  $h_2(t, \xi) = t + \xi$ . For Example 2, let  $h(t, \xi) = t + \xi^{5/3}$  and  $g(\xi) = \xi^{1/3}$ .

Proof of the Theorem. Suppose there were two different solutions,  $x$  and  $y$ , on some interval  $J = [t_0, b)$  where  $b > t_0$ . (The case  $J = (b, t_0]$  is handled similarly.) Let

$$a \equiv \inf \{t \in (t_0, b) : x(t) \neq y(t)\}.$$

Then  $x(a) = y(a)$ .

For the point  $(a, x(a)) \in S$  let  $U, K, m, h_j$ , and  $g_j$  be as described in the hypotheses of the theorem. Without loss of generality, assume that for each  $j$  the expression in (4) is positive at  $(a, x(a))$ . Then, reducing  $U$  if necessary, the continuity of the derivatives of  $h_j$  assures that there exist positive constants  $p$  and  $M$  such that for  $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial h_j(t, \xi)}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial h_j(t, \xi)}{\partial \xi_i} f_i(t, \xi) \geq p \quad \text{on } U \cap S$$

and

$$(6) \quad |h_j(t, \xi) - h_j(t, \eta)| \leq M \|\xi - \eta\| \quad \text{on } U.$$

Choose a bounded interval  $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$  which contains  $h_j(U \cap S)$ , reducing  $U$  if necessary. Then  $g_j$  is the difference of two continuous non-decreasing functions on  $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$ , and each of the latter can be extended to a continuous non-decreasing function on  $R$  by defining it to be constant on  $(-\infty, \alpha_j]$  and constant on  $[\beta_j, \infty)$ . Without loss of generality, we shall assume that each  $g_j$  is itself non-decreasing on  $R$  and that

$$(7) \quad g_j(h_j(a, x(a))) = 0.$$

Define

$$z(t) = \int_a^t \|x'(s) - y'(s)\| ds \quad \text{for } a \leq t < b.$$

Then  $z(a) = 0$ ,  $z'(a) = 0$ ,  $z$  and  $z'$  are continuous,

$$z'(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|x(t) - y(t)\| \leq z(t) \quad \text{on } [a, b).$$

Choose  $c \in (a, b]$  sufficiently small so that  $(s, x(s))$  and  $(s, y(s))$  remain in  $U$  for  $a \leq s < c$ . Then, from (6),

$$h_j(s, x(s)) - Mz(s) \leq h_j(s, y(s)) \leq h_j(s, x(s)) + Mz(s)$$

and, from (5),

$$\frac{d}{ds} h_j(s, x(s)) \geq p$$

for  $a \leq s < c$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

Thus for  $a \leq t < c$ , using (3) and the monotonicity of each  $g_j$ ,

$$z(t) \leq K \int_a^t \left\{ |x(s) - y(s)| + \sum_{j=1}^m |g_j(h_j(s, x(s))) - g_j(h_j(s, y(s)))| \right\} ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K(t - a)z(t) + \frac{K}{p} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_a^t [g_j(h_j(s, x(s)) + Mz(s)) \\ &\quad - g_j(h_j(s, x(s)) - Mz(s))] \frac{d}{ds} h_j(s, x(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= K(t - a)z(t) + \frac{K}{p} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{h_j(t, x(t)) - Mz(t)}^{h_j(t, x(t)) + Mz(t)} g_j(u) du \\ &\quad - \frac{K}{p} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_a^t [g_j(h_j(s, x(s)) + Mz(s)) + g_j(h_j(s, x(s)) - Mz(s))] Mz'(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that for each  $j$

$$|g_j(u)| < \frac{p}{6mKM} \quad \text{when} \quad |u - h_j(a, x(a))| < \delta_1.$$

Then choose  $\delta \in (0, 1/6K)$  such that  $a + \delta \leq c$  and, for each  $j$ ,

$$|h_j(t, x(t)) - h_j(a, x(a))| + Mz(t) < \delta_1 \quad \text{when} \quad a \leq t < a + \delta.$$

Now for  $a < t < a + \delta$  one finds  $z(t) \leq 5z(t)/6$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

The motivation for this paper was the following problem from classical electrodynamics.

Example 3. Consider  $n$  electrically charged point particles moving along the  $x$ -axis at distinct positions,  $x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)$ . Assume that the motion of particle  $j$  depends only on the electromagnetic fields produced by the other  $n-1$  particles, with these fields traveling to particle  $j$  at the speed of light,  $c$ .

The required fields are calculated in terms of the trajectories of the other particles from the retarded Liénard-Wiechert potentials; and they are substituted into the Lorentz force law for particle  $j$ . Introducing  $v_i = \dot{x}_i/c$  for the velocity of particle  $i$  as a multiple of  $c$ , one obtains a system of delay differential equations with state-dependent delays:

$$(8) \quad \frac{\dot{v}_j}{(1 - v_j^2)^{3/2}} = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{K_{ij}}{r_{ij}^2} \frac{\sigma_{ij} + v_i(t - r_{ij})}{\sigma_{ij} - v_i(t - r_{ij})},$$

where each  $K_{ij}$  is a constant,  $\sigma_{ij} \equiv \text{sgn} [x_j(0) - x_i(0)]$ , and where  $r_{ij} > 0$  satisfies

$$(9) \quad r'_{ij} = \frac{v_j - v_i(t - r_{ij})}{\sigma_{ij} - v_i(t - r_{ij})} \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

In these equations  $v_i$  and  $r_{ij}$  without an argument stand for  $v_i(t)$  and  $r_{ij}(t)$ .

In order to solve the system of  $n^2$  equations represented by (8) and (9) when  $t \geq 0$ , one should know not only

$$v_j(0) \text{ and } r_{ij}(0) \text{ for all } j \text{ and all } i \neq j,$$

but also the values of  $v_i(t)$  for  $t \leq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Now consideration of the problem in three-dimensional motion has led to the conclusion that accelerations should not

be assumed continuous, but only integrable [2]. Thus it seems reasonable even in the case of one-dimensional motion to assume that the given past history of  $v_1$ , say

$$(11) \quad v_1(t) = g_1(t) \quad \text{for } t \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

is merely absolutely continuous--not, in general, locally Lipschitzian.

Substituting (11) into the right hand sides of Eqs. (8) and (9) one gets a system of ordinary differential equations which satisfies the uniqueness criterion of the present paper. Thus a unique solution exists at least as long as each  $t - r_{1j}(t) \leq 0$  and each  $|v_j(t)| < 1$ . (Further extension of the solution would use a "method-of-steps" argument which is not relevant to this paper.)

The above uniqueness problem was solved earlier for the case of two particles in one-dimensional motion [1]. But the method used did not seem to extend to the n-body problem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. D. Driver and M. J. Norris, Note on uniqueness for a one-dimensional two-body problem of classical electrodynamics, Ann. Physics 42 (1967) pp. 347-351.
- [2] R. D. Driver, Topologies for equations of neutral type and classical electrodynamics, in Differencial'nye Uravnenija s Otklonjajusčimsja Argumentom, Kiev "Naukova Dumka" 1977, pp. 113-127. English version: Tech. Rept. No. 60 (1975), Dept. of Math., Univ. of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881.



UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER <b>AFOSR-TR- 80-0585</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. <b>AP-A088 113</b>	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)  A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED  Interim
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) J. M. Norris R. D. Driver		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)  F49620-79-C-0129
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Rhode Island Department of Mathematics Kingston, RI 02881		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS  61102F 2304/A4
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS  Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Bolling AFB, Washington, DC 20332		12. REPORT DATE May 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES Seven
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  UNCLASSIFIED
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The uniqueness theorem of this paper answers an open question for a system of differential equations arising in a certain n-body problem of classical electrodynamics. The essence of the result can be illustrated using the scalar prototype equation $x' = g_1(x) + g_2(t + x)$ with $x(0) = 0$ . The solution of the latter will be unique provided $g_1$ and $g_2$ are continuous positive functions of bounded variation.		

END

DATE  
FILMED

9-80

DTIC